## Approved For Release 2006/03/10 : CIA-RDP82M00591R000100080009-5

15 March 1978

		MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD	
		SUBJECT: Study of Damage Assessment Procedures	25X1
051/4			
25X1		ldiscussed this study of damage assessment procedures with	25X1
		of the DCI Security Committee on 15 March 1978.	25X1
25X1		has been hired as an independent contractor by the Center for Intelligence Studies of CIA/OTR to examine	
		Intelligence Community methodology and procedures for damage assess-	
		ments, on the basis of actual cases of compromise, and to make appro-	
		priate recommendations.)	
25X1		2. said he was focusing his study on	
25X1		cases occurring since 1 January 1962 that he had identified through various sources. He discussed the degree and	
		extent of cooperation he was getting from various Community agencies	
		(excellent from NSA, which had assigned a GS-15 level staffer to assist him full time; very good from DIA and the Services).	
25X1	***		
25X1		3 On the basis of cases which he had reviewed in depth, said he had reached these tentative conclusions:	25X1
		510115.	
25X1		a. An all too frequent practice in compromise cases is for the affected agencies initially to express strong concern about the extent of damage, followed some time later in the final damage assessment by no more than bland assertions that little	
		or no damage was done.	
25X1		b. Gaps in records and in personal recollections strongly suggest that some compromises were never briefed to agencies outside the one in which they occurred, even though other agency interests may have been involved.	
25X1		c. There do not appear to be adequate procedures	
		to ensure that the lessons learned from damage assessments are in fact applied.	
25X1		d. It is important to provide early and timely	
		advice to all concerned agencies on each significant item	25X1
	1		

## Approved For Release 2006/03/10 : CIA-RDP82M00591R000100080009-5

25X1

SUBJECT: Study of Damage Assessment Procedures	25X1
of compromised data, and not wait until the whole case is completed and not withhold such advice on the grounds that a case is <u>sub judice</u> .	*
4. said he needed to get a better understanding of how the Security Committee was involved in the damage assessment process. He proposed to visit us again with a list of specific cases to try to determine which of them we had been involved	0.5344
in, and the extent thereof agreed to this, and 0930	25X1
21 March 1978 was set for the visit.	25X1